**206CDE Group Project Grading Form**

**Grading Notes:**

The group project is marked out of 100. Although it carries 60% of the overall module mark. In awarding marks, please consider the following modal guidance:

**Marking criteria**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Unsatisfactory | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Outstanding |
|  | <40 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 | 70-79 | 80-100 |
| Supporting materials for the software solution | Unsatisfactory solution. Limited knowledge. Limited breadth with  unsatisfactory  identification of key themes. | The very basic software solution with basic identification of key themes. | Good software solution with some errors. Good identification of key themes. | Very good software solution with minor errors. Good identification of key themes. | Excellent software solution within the chosen theme. | Outstanding software solution within the chosen theme. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Inadequate | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Outstanding |
|  | <40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 60-69 | 70-80 | 80-100 |
| Video quality Communication | Video presentation is unsatisfactory.  Not clearly. Poor quality of language. Lack of clarify on rationale. | Video presentation of work is satisfactory  in terms of narrative, structure coherence, and clarity. | Video presentation of work is well organised with good use of language to express ideas/argument. Very few inconsistencies. | Video presentation is of a very good standard. | Video presentation is excellent, well-structured and logical. | Video presentation is outstanding demonstrating a compelling case. |

**Group Project Grading and Feedback**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student ID: |  |
| Student name: |  |
| Tutorial group ID (1…10): |  |
| Group ID in the tutorial group (1…6): |  |

## **COMPONENT Grades**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Novelty of the project idea and its commercial potential**   * *The novelty of the project idea, its originality, creativity and commercial potential.* | **/20** |
| **Project management and overall professionalism demonstrated in the group project.**   * *Project management and* the execution of the project. Regular attendance of tutorial session and report progress regularly to tutors. Complete the project on time. * Problem solving ability. Identify issues experienced in the group project and try to address them professionally. | **/30** |
| **The digital solution developed by the group to address the identified project idea.**   * Technical complexity and difficulties of the solution (e.g. screenshots, mockup app, or fully functional application with source code). * Thoroughness of solution (functionality of solution). | **/30** |
| **Quality of the video presentation**   * The clarity, quality and creativity of the video presentation. | **/20** |
| **Total** | **/100** |

**Feedback:**

**206CDE Individual Report Grading Form**

**Grading Notes:**

The group project is marked out of 100. Although it carries 40% of the overall module mark. In awarding marks, please consider the following modal guidance:

**Marking criteria**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Unsatisfactory | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Outstanding |
|  | <40 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 | 70-79 | 80-100 |
| Individual reflective report | Unsatisfactory report. Poor quality use of language. | The very basic report with limited reflection. Some grammar and spelling mistakes. | Good report solution with good identification of key issues. | Very good report with minor errors. Good reflection on key issues. | Excellent report with clear and meaningful reflection. | Outstanding report with compelling argument and meaningful refection |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Inadequate | Satisfactory | Good | Very Good | Excellent | Outstanding |
|  | <40 | 40-50 | 50-60 | 60-69 | 70-80 | 80-100 |
| CV | Poor quality use of language. Unclear. | CV is satisfactory  in terms of structure coherence, and clarity. | Good CV with good use of language. | Very good CV with clear structure and clarify. Minor mistakes. | Excellent CV with clear structure and clarify. | Outstanding CV with clear structure and clarify. Demonstrating a compelling representation of the individual’s experience. |

**Individual Report Grading and Feedback**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student ID: |  |
| Student name: |  |
| Tutorial group ID (1…10): |  |
| Group ID in the tutorial group (1…6): |  |

## **COMPONENT Grades**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Cover page.**   * *Group and tutorial ID and All team member names.* * *Your role in the team.* * *The name of the software solution the team have developed.* | **/5** |
| **Project idea and a summary of the proposed solution.**   * *A summary of your software solution idea and the real-world problem your team tried to solve.* | **/30** |
| **Reflection.**   * *Your individual reflection on what you yourself have contributed to this work, what you have learned from this project, what went right, what went wrong. If you could do this project again, what would you do differently.* | **/30** |
| **Social, professional, legal and ethical issues**   * *Indicate the consideration of social, professional, legal and ethical issues in the context of your project.* | **/10** |
| **References.** | **/5** |
| **CV (2 pages).** | **/20** |
| **Total** | **/100** |

**Feedback:**